

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,464	03/25/2004	Ryan Cunningham	U000-P04042US	9324
33356 SoCAL IP LA	7590 08/22/2007 W GROUP LLP		EXAMINER	
	LAKE BLVD. STE 120		MANIWANG, JOSEPH R	
WESILAKE	VILLAGE, CA 91362		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2144	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	•		MAIL DATE .	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/810,464	CUNNINGHAM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joseph R. Maniwang	2144			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr te, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 3	June 2007.				
, <u> </u>	is action is non-final.				
,—					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 61-72 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 61-72 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.  ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic ority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/810,464

Art Unit: 2144

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. Claims 61-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Radziewicz et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,854,897), hereinafter referred to as Radziewicz.
- Regarding claims 61, 64, and 67, Radziewicz disclosed a method and system comprising requesting a first web page via a network connection (see column 11, lines 30-33); displaying the first web page in a browser window (see column 5, lines 22-27; Fig. 8A); detecting if the computer is not actively sending and not actively receiving data via the network connection, and if so, automatically commencing downloading a video file via the network connection to the computer (see column 5, lines 43-47, 53-54; column 6, lines 13-32, 36-39); during downloading the video file, detecting if the computer is commencing downloading a second web page, and if so, waiting to continue downloading the video file (see column 11, lines 41-44); after completing downloading the video file, automatically commencing displaying the video file in a viewer window (see column 7, lines 11-17); wherein the size of the viewer window is of a size not less than a predetermined minimum size (see column 13, lines 16-44); and wherein the viewer window is located on top of all open windows (see column 20, line 60 through column 21, line 5; Fig. 8A-8D).

Application/Control Number: 10/810,464 Page 3

Art Unit: 2144

4. Regarding claims 62, 65, and 68, Radziewicz disclosed the method and system further comprising sending a questionnaire to the computer prior to commencing downloading the second web page (see column 8, lines 1-15; column 14, lines 1-19).

- 5. Regarding claims 63, 66, and 69, Radziewicz disclosed the method and system wherein the viewer window is distinct from the browser window displaying the first web page (see column 13, lines 15-23; Fig. 8A).
- 6. Regarding claims 70-72, Radziewicz disclosed the method and system wherein the display run time of the video file is between 30 seconds and 2 ½ minutes (see column 15, line 56 through column 16, line 2).

### Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 06/11/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Regarding claims 61-69 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Radziewicz (U.S. Pat. No. 5,854,897), Applicant asserts that Radziewicz does not teach "after completing downloading the video file, automatically commencing displaying the video file in a viewer window wherein the size of the viewer window is of a size not less than a predetermined minimum size and wherein the viewer window is located on top of all open windows" as currently amended in exemplary claim 61. Specifically, Applicant argues that the prior art reference teaches that an advertisement is only transmitted and displayed while a connection path remains idle. Applicant asserts that Radziewicz discloses that the advertisement is displayed as it is being transmitted to the computer,

Art Unit: 2144

and that such displaying is interrupted/closed when the connection path is not idle. To this point, Examiner first submits that interrupting/closing the displayed advertisement when the connection path is not idle is only one possible embodiment in Radziewicz, namely the fourth embodiment (see column 6, lines 1-12). Additionally, it is noted that Applicant's assertion that "Radziewicz discloses displaying the advertisement as it is being transmitted" is not supported with evidence from the reference. In fact, Radziewicz suggests the opposite, stating that an advertisement (i.e., "video file") is displayed only after transmitting the advertisement ("Such downloaded announcement are then played/displayed on the DTE", see column 7, lines 15-17). This disclosure reads on the claimed limitation requiring "after completing downloading the video file, automatically commencing displaying the video file" as argued. Furthermore, contrary to Applicant's assertion that such a display is interrupted/closed when the connection path is idle. Radziewicz discloses the opposite, stating that a downloaded advertisement is displayed even after the connection is busy and not idle ("Although the advertisements are transmitted to the DTE 14 when the connection path 20 is idle, the last advertisement to be displayed prior to the connection path 20 becoming busy will continue to be displayed while the connection path 20 is busy. The advertisement will thus remain displayed until such time as the connection path 20 is no longer busy", see column 13, lines 35-44).

9. Applicant further asserts that Radziewicz does not disclose "wherein the size of the viewer window is of a size not less than a predetermined minimum size and wherein the viewer window is located on top of all open windows". While Applicant's remarks

Application/Control Number: 10/810,464

Art Unit: 2144

regarding this limitation provide no specific argument, Examiner submits still that Radziewicz clearly discloses such a feature, disclosing a "fixed announcement window" of a specific size (see column 13, lines 16-44), as well as such window being on top of all open windows as claimed (see column 20, line 60 through column 21, line 5; Fig. 8A-8D).

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph R. Maniwang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3928. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William C. Vaughn can be reached on (571) 272-3922. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/810,464 Page 6

Art Unit: 2144

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100